

Planning Committee

Thursday 8 December 2022

PRESENT:

Councillor Darcy, in the Chair.

Councillor Ms Watkin, Vice Chair.

Councillors Kelly, Nicholson, Partridge, Poyser, Reilly, Smith, Stevens, Stoneman, Tippetts (as substitute for Councillor Allen), Tuffin and Tuohy.

Apologies for absence: Councillor Allen.

Also in attendance: Councillor Sally Cresswell, Councillor Dr John Mahony, Abbey Edwards (Planning Officer), Natalie Gloyn (Lawyer), Kate Saunders (Area Planning Manager), Helen Rickman (Democratic Advisor), Amy Thompson (Planning Officer), Hannah Whiting (Democratic Advisor).

The meeting started at 4.00pm and finished at 5.42pm.

Note: At a future meeting, the committee will consider the accuracy of these draft minutes, so they may be subject to change. Please check the minutes of that meeting to confirm whether these minutes have been amended.

42. **Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest made by Members in accordance with the code of conduct.

43. **Minutes**

The Committee agreed the minutes of the meeting held on 10 November 2022.

44. **Chair's Urgent Business**

There were no items of Chair's urgent business.

45. **Questions from Members of the Public**

One question was submitted to the Planning Committee:

Mr Stoneman submitted the following question – this was read out by the Chair in Mr Stoneman's absence:

Question:

I would like to know why no consideration was given to a planning application that would save energy and create jobs at St Anne's House PL99SN in a energy and economic crisis when

Response:

It is not considered appropriate for Planning Committee to comment on specific matters relating to a case which was not presented to and determined by Committee. Each individual planning

the council policy is to support the hospitality sector in ocean city.	application has to be considered on its own merits taking in to account all relevant material planning considerations. The officer report for the application will provide an assessment of the matters relevant to the case. If you are unhappy with the decision of the Local Planning Authority then the letter that accompanies the decision notice provides relevant information on the Appeals process.
--	---

46. **Planning Applications for consideration**

The Committee considered the following applications, development proposals by local authorities and statutory consultations submitted under the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990, and the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservations Areas) Act, 1990.

47. **21 Mutley Road, Plymouth, PL3 4SB - 22/00848/FUL**

Mr N Bishop

Decision:

Application REFUSED on the basis of Design/Overdevelopment contrary to DEV20, Inadequate parking contrary to DEV29, Loss of amenity due to inadequate separation distances contrary to DEVI, Standard of accommodation due to lack of amenity space contrary to DEV10 and Inadequate biodiversity net gain contrary to DEV26.

(The Committee heard from Councillor Dr Mahony, Ward Councillor)

(Councillor Nicholson left the meeting at 5.12pm)

48. **86 Milehouse Road, Plymouth, PL3 4DA - 22/01453/FUL**

Mr T Mellor

Decision:

Application REFUSED on the basis of inadequate parking contrary to DEV29, loss of amenity due to inadequate separation distances contrary to DEVI, standard of accommodation due to lack of amenity space contrary to DEV35, and overdevelopment contrary to DEV10.

(The Committee heard from Councillor Cresswell, Ward Councillor)

49. **Planning Enforcement**

The Committee noted the Planning Enforcement Report.

50. **Planning Application Decisions Issued**

The Committee noted the report from the Assistant Director for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure on decisions issued since the last meeting.

51. **Appeal Decisions**

The Committee noted the schedule of appeal decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate.

52. **Exempt Business**

There were no items of exempt business.

53. **Schedule of Voting**

Minute Number and Application		Voting for	Voting against	Abstained	Absent due to interest declared	Absent
47	21 Mutley Road, Plymouth, PL3 4SB – 22/00848/FUL Grant Conditionally Application REFUSED on the basis of DEV 20 – Overdevelopment, DEV 29 – Inadequate parking, DEV 1 – loss of amenity due to the insufficient separation distances, as well as concerns over biodiversity.		Councillors Darcy, Nicholson, Partridge, Smith, Stoneman, Ms Watkin, Kelly, Poyser, Reilly, Stevens, Tippetts, Tuffin, Tuohy.			
48	86 Milehouse Road, Plymouth, PL3 4DA – 22/01453/FUL Grant Conditionally Application	Councillor Poyser.	Councillors Darcy, Partridge, Smith, Stoneman, Ms Watkin, Kelly, Reilly,	Councillor Tuffin.		Councillor Nicholson.

	<p>REFUSED on the basis of DEV 20 – Overdevelopment, DEV 29 – Inadequate parking, DEV 1 – loss of amenity due to the insufficient separation distances, as well as concerns over biodiversity.</p>		<p>Stevens, Tippetts, Tuohy.</p>			
--	--	--	----------------------------------	--	--	--